AP-RR-00)3
Revision	8

50.59 EVALUATION

Number:	Rev. N	No.:	Page 1 of
Title:			
A separate written response providing the basis for the answer to each question below <u>must</u> accompany this form. Identify references used to perform the Evaluation. [Include Section / Page Nos.]			
EFFECT ON ACCID	ENTS AND MALFUNCTIONS PREVIOUS	<u>SLY EVALUATED IN THE HSR</u>	
	activity result in more than a minimal increase <i>iously evaluated in the HSR</i> ?	in the frequency of occurrence	YESNO
	activity result in more than a minimal increase SC important to safety previously evaluated in		YESNO
3. Does the proposed <i>previously evaluate</i>	activity result in more than a minimal increase <i>ed in the HSR</i> ?	in the consequences of an <i>accident</i>	YESNO
	activity result in more than a minimal increase SC important to safety previously evaluated in		YESNO
POTENTIAL FOR C	REATION OF A NEW TYPE OF EVENT	NOT PREVIOUSLY EVALUATE	D IN THE HSR
5. Does the proposed evaluated in the <i>HS</i>	activity create a possibility for an accident of a <i>R</i> ?	different type than any previously	YESNO
	activity create a possibility for a <i>malfunction of</i> an any previously evaluated in <i>HSR</i> ?	f an SSC important to safety with	YESNO
IMPACT ON FISSIC	N PRODUCT BARRIERS AS DESCRIBEI	D IN THE HSR	
7. Does the proposed the <i>HSR</i> being alter	activity result in a design basis limit for a fissic red or exceeded?	on product barrier as described in	YESNO
IMPACT ON EVAL	UATION METHODOLOGIES DESCRIBE	D IN THE HSR	
	activity result in a <i>departure from a method of</i> safety analysis <i>described in the HSR</i> ?	evaluation used to establish any	YESNO
	of the 50.59 questions is ''YES'', then the pro a obtained from the NRC.	posed activity may <u>not</u> be implem	ented until a License
	Print Name	Sign Name	Date
Preparer:			
Reviewer:			

Date of Review - Reactor Advisory Committee / Reactor Safety Subcommittee: _____

Reactor Manager:

Attachment 9.2