		Number Rev.: Procedure Title:	ADMN-1 3.0 NETL Procedure		Control	Date:	4/8/10		
× 1	10_CFR 50.59 Evaluation	Tocedule Thie.	NETE HOULDIC		Condor				
<sup>×</sup> 1 2	Briefly describe the modification, revision, test, or experiment								
	brieny describe the modification, revision, test, or experiment								
		······			NO	YES			
		r equipment described in							
	parameter, or equipme	e or equipment have the ent described in the SAR	?			An formation and the second			
	If the answer to both (1) and (2) is no, then no further Action is required except a signature; if the answer to either (1) or (2) is yes then continue to (3) and (4):								
	(3) List the chapters and sections of the SAR for which the procedure or equipment described in the SAR,								
	and/or where the procedure, parameter, or equipment affected by the change is described in the SAR.								
					NO	YES			
	(4) Does the change re	equire NRC review and a	pproval prior to implen	nentation					
	according to the criter	ia below (if yes circle the	affected criteria) and i	refer to NRC	<u> </u>	Dete			
<i>*</i> \	Performed By:		·			Date			
	ROC Review:								
3						<b>.</b>			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Modifications and revisions to UT TRIGA reactor facilities and equipment that are described in the SAR and updates procedures that control or affect those facilities and equipment may be changed, altered or revised without prior NRC and approval if the change does not: <ul> <li>(a) Require a change to the Technical Specifications or license;</li> <li>(b) Result in more than minimal increase in frequency of occurrence of SAR accident analysis[2];</li> <li>(c) Result in more than minimal increase in likelihood of occurrence of a malfunction of SSC[3] important to safe that was previously evaluated in approved SAR and updates[1];</li> <li>(d) Result in more than minimal increase in consequences of SAR accident analysis[2];</li> <li>(e) Result in more than minimal increase in consequences of SAR accident analysis[2];</li> <li>(f) Result in more than minimal increase in consequences of malfunction of an SSC[3] important to safety previoe evaluated in approved SAR and updates[1];</li> <li>(f) Create possibility for accident of different type than those in SAR accident analysis[2];</li> <li>(g) Create possibility for malfunction of SSC[3] important to safety with different result than any previously eval in SAR and updates[1];</li> <li>(h) Result in design basis limit for fission product barrier described in the SAR and updates[1] being exceeded or altered; or</li> <li>(i) Result in design basis limit for fission product barrier described in SAR and updates[1] that was used either to establidesign bases or in the safety analyses.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
	10CFR50.59 Evalu	ation	Sta	mp(Original	Red, Copy	-Blue)			
8	Date of Change NETL Dir. Approval			C	091	Page 1	l of 2		

PAFormat3.doc		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Date:	4/8/10			
Attachment	Number – Rev.:	ADMN-1	3.00					
admn1-a2.doc	Procedure Title:	NETL Proc	edure Outline and Co	ntrol				
ADDITIONAL GUIDA	NCE							
without po NOTE [2]: SAR accide from clad	pdates is the approved S ior NRC review and appro at analysis refers to (1) rea rupture as analyzed in the structures, systems, and co	oval not currently activity accident, ( SAR and updates.	incorporated in the SAR. 2) loss of reactor coolant	-				
accomplished under the a. Must inch experiment b. Must be sul c. Must be ma	ages, procedure changes, a authorization of 10CFR5( de a written evaluation does not require a license unitted to the NRC at inter intained until the terminati intained for a period of 5 y	).59: which provides th amendment. rvals not to exceed ion of an operating	te bases for the determi 24 months. Hicense for facility chang	nation that the chang jes,	ge, test, or			
There are specific requi Physical Security Plan.	rements in regulations for	changes to the Em	ergency Plan, Radiologic	al Protection Program	, and			
DEFINITIONS		x		-				
	nodification or addition to r controlling the function,							
Departure from a metho safety analyses:	d of evaluation described	in the FSAR (as u	odated) used in establishi	ng the design bases or	in the			
	ny of the elements of the n e or essentially the same;		n the FSAR (as updated)	mless the results of th	e analysis			
	rom a method described	in the FSAR to a	nother method unless that	t method has been ap	proved by			
Tests or experiments not described in the final safety analysis report (as updated) means any activity where any structure system, or component is utilized or controlled in a manner which is either: a. Outside the reference bounds of the design bases as described in the final safety analysis report (as updated) or b. Inconsistent with the analyses or descriptions in the final safety analysis report (as updated).								
	- 1	- 	04	d. Open Plant				
10CFR50.59 Ev Date of Change	uation	[ ]	Stamp(Original Re	a contraction				
NETL Dir. Approva				Page 2				