Overcoming Challenges in the ZED-2 Reactor Safety Analysis

TRTR Conference G.B. Wilkin and K.R. Kumar 2010 September 19-24



ZED-2 50th Anniversary

- First criticality on 1960 September 7
- 50 years of safe operation achieved two weeks ago
- CNS sponsoring a Technical Workshop on critical facilities and small reactors
 - -2010 November 1-3, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
 - -CNS website: http://www.cns-snc.ca/events
 - -Still accepting registrations
 - -Tour of ZED-2 on November 3 at CRL



ZED-2 Overview



- Cylindrical vessel in which fuel rods are hung vertically
- Pump D₂O moderator into vessel to take reactor critical
- Low power, ~200 W maximum
- Primary shutdown mechanism is moderator dump through 3 lines (1.5 ft dia) back to the dump tanks in the basement
- 8 to 12 standby absorber rods also drop but are not credited in the safety case



ZED-2's Versatility & Variability

- Can accommodate mixed fuel types in a variable number of fuel rods each with or without CANDU-type or ACR-type channels
- Channel coolants can be light or heavy water, or air, and can vary from channel to channel

-Some CANDU-type channels can be heated

- Lattices can be square or hexagonal with continuously variable lattice pitch
- Other items can also be placed in the core such as
 - Solid neutron absorbers, self-powered and foil-activation neutron flux detectors, and soluble neutron poisons in the heavy water
- Heavy water purity continuously decreases with time



Defence in Depth









ACR LEU Fuel Lesson

 Addendum added to the ZED-2 FSAR to accommodate LEU fuel for ACR experiments

-Final Safety Analysis Report (supports the license)

- Limits placed on LEU fuel loading, minimum lattice pitch, and maximum reactivity insertion
- Did not include indicated-to-actual power difference
 - -When the power difference was assessed, the safety case failed for smallest allowed lattice pitch
 - -ZED-2 was shut down by AECL management
 - -Errant case "fixed" by reducing safety-case conservatism
 - –Operation resumed under a 2nd addendum to FSAR
 - Special CNSC permission was required for each core load
- Clearly a different approach was required to avoid endless revisions to the FSAR for new experiments AFAECL FACL

Summary of Reasons for FSAR Upgrade

- Last major rewrite of FSAR in 1985
- Include and quantify safety margins
- Explicitly include impact of the difference between indicated and actual core power
- Include uncertainty analysis
- Challenge: to select an appropriate set of controlled parameters that provides a robust safety case without compromising the facility's versatility while at the same time avoiding endless revisions to the FSAR
- Many other upgrades performed at the same time
- This presentation is confined to the safety analysis



Reference Accident Transient (Design Basis Accident - DBA)

- Indicated power is at the trip condition (200 W)
- Core parameters at their LCO limits
 - Limiting Conditions for Safe Operation
- Step reactivity insertion:

 $\rho = \beta(U235) + 1 \text{ mk} = 7.984 \text{ mk}$

- $(1 \text{ mk} = 10^{-3} \text{ k} = 100 \text{ pcm})$
- U235 is the only fissioning nuclide
- One dump valve fails to open and absorber rods are not credited
- Acceptance Criteria (dose to staff and fuel temperature) depend on total energy generated
- All other credible LOR accidents are bounded by this transient
- Other fissioning nuclides are bounded by this transient







Reference Transient and *E*_{AC} **Equations** (LCO Parameters)

$$\frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \frac{\rho(t) - \beta_{U235}}{\Lambda} n(t) + \sum_{i} \lambda_i C_i(t) \qquad P(t) = P_A n(t), \quad n(0) = 1$$

 $\rho(t) = \rho_{\text{IN}}(t) + \rho_{\text{OUT}}(t)$ $\rho_{\text{IN}}(t) = \beta_{U235} + 1 \text{ mk, ramp } 0 \rightarrow 0.1 \text{ ms}$

$$\rho_{\rm OUT}(t) = -\underline{LCR} \frac{H_{\rm ex}^3}{2} \left[\frac{1}{(H_{\rm ex} - \Delta h(t'))^2} - \frac{1}{H_{\rm ex}^2} \right] \qquad H_{ex} = H_c + D_{ex}$$
$$t' = t - t_{\rm DUMP}$$

$$E = \int P(t)dt \qquad E_{AC} = M F_{\Delta H} F_{f \, luxpeak} \,\Delta T \,C_{P} \qquad \Delta T = 100^{\circ} \text{C} - T_{0}$$

 $E < E_{AC}$ — Deposited Energy Acceptance Criterion



LCO Parameters

- Set of 6 parameters were selected as LCOs
 - -Based on reactor physics not physical parameters
 - The parameter values vary significantly with core loading and are calculated for each experiment
 - -A limit is specified for each parameter
 - -Maximum reactivity insertion:
 - ρ = β_{EXPT} +1 mk, limit: $\rho \le \beta_{\text{U235}}$ +1 mk
 - Safety case using U235 bounds other fissionable nuclides
 - -Other 5 limits are fixed: LCR, fuel mass, critical height, neutron generation time, actual power at trip

- e.g., $P_A < 700$ W at $P_I = 200$ W to address $P_A \neq P_I$

-Limit values are arbitrary but carefully chosen to satisfy the E_{AC} yet not unduly constrain experiments



Fixed Parameters

- The remaining parameters have fixed values
 - -Not calculated for each experiment
 - Some parameter values are selected to bound experiments with additional margin
 - -Some parameters don't depend on the experiment
 - Senior ZED-2 Physicist determines if any fixed parameters must be changed for a given experiment
 - Requires new safety case and CNSC acceptance

Uncertainty Analysis

- Uncertainty analysis performed on DBA
 - -All 6 LCO parameters at the license limits, including 1σ standard errors (highly unlikely)
 - -Fixed parameters included added margins
- Result was that a 98.8% probability that the deposited energy will not exceed E_{AC}
- Very high probability that E_{AC} will not be exceeded at license limits



Quantifying Safety Margins

Case	Margin to <i>E_{AC}</i>	Actual Fuel Temp (°C)
Reference accident transient (at LCO limits)	6.1	56.68
Worst case assessed (ACR/LEU, 24 cm, Gd in D ₂ O)	233	50.07
Errant Addendum case (ACR/LEU, 18 cm)	257	50.06
Typical experiments (CANDU/ACR, NU/LEU, D ₂ O/H ₂ O)	400-1200	50.01-50.04

- Actual fuel T explicitly calculated during transient
- Fuel T safety limit is 100° C (initial fuel T is 50° C)
- Quantifies the margins in typical experiments between the actual fuel T and the safety limit



Summary of New Safety Case

- Establishes Defense in Depth philosophy

 Introduces operating and safety margins
- Quantifies the very large actual safety margin
- Robust without compromising ZED-2 versatility
 - Can accommodate virtually any core loading without requiring a revision and CNSC approval of the licensing documents

Addresses all other shortcomings in previous safety case

- -Includes a rigorous uncertainty analysis
- -Explicitly accounts for indicated-actual power discrepancy
- -Evidence provided that the DBA is in fact bounding



Thank You!



Reference Transient is Bounding

(Reactivity Inserted and Rate)

Reactivity Inserted β(U235)=6.984	Starting Indicated Power (W)	Deposited Energy (kJ)	Time to Trip (s)
7.984 mk, step (reference)	200	412	0
	120	277	0.02
	0.0001	91.9	2.28
2 mk, step (~5%/s period)	200	2.86	0
	120	3.03	1.65
	0.0001	15.3	267
0.495 mk/s (fastest LOR)	120	4.05	4.06
	0.0001	46.3	15.4
0.002 mk/s (slowest LOR)	120	92.1	176
	0.0001	19.9	869



Reference Transient is Bounding (Fissioning Nuclides)

Kinetics Parameters	Reactivity Limit (mk, step)	Starting Indicated Power (W)	Deposited Energy (kJ)
β(U235)=6.984	7.984 (reference)	200	412
		120	277
		0.0001	91.9
β(Pu239)=2.28	3.28	200	39.1
		120	28.9
		0.0001	15.1
β(Nat-U)=7.36	7.984	200	171
		120	112
		0.0001	25.3



Reference Transient is Bounding (Actual Power at Trip)

Actual power at trip (200 W indicated power):

$$P(W) = F7 \times \frac{202.5}{180.9} \times 4.554 \times 10^7 \times \frac{200}{50} \times \frac{1}{F2} \times 1.602 \times 10^{-13}$$

Nuclide	Total MeV/fiss (EPRI-NP-1771)	Prompt MeV/fiss (MCNP F7)	Ratio (±0.001)
U235	202.5	180.9	1.119
Pu239	207.0	189.4	1.093
Pu241	210.7	189.0	1.115
U233	198.0	180.8	1.095
U238	206.0	181.3	1.136
Nat-U	202.7	180.9	1.120

Note: 12.5% (1 σ) standard error assigned to *P*



Prompt Neutron Generation Time (called 1/v insertion method or α-static method)

From static perturbation theory

$$\Lambda = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \Phi^*, \frac{1}{V} \Phi \\ V \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} \Phi^*, F \Phi \end{pmatrix}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \rho = -\frac{\begin{pmatrix} \Phi^*, \Delta M \Phi \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} \Phi^*, F \Phi \end{pmatrix}}$$

• Setting $\Delta M = \Delta \alpha / v$ and solving for Λ yields

$$\Lambda = \lim_{\Delta \alpha \to 0} \left(-\frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta \alpha} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial \rho(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\alpha = 0}$$

 MCNP4C ACODE option is used to calculate several values of ρ(α) by repeatedly solving k-eigenvalue equation with α constant:

$$\frac{1}{k} \mathbf{F} \Phi = \left[\mathbf{M} + \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{v}} \right] \Phi$$



Uncertainty Analysis

- 17 input parameters set at reference values: Λ, ρ_{IN}, P_A, LCR, H_C, (β_i, λ_i, i=1,6), each with a 1σ uncertainty
 - Uncertainty in *M* is included in the E_{AC}
 - Remaining parameters at their fixed values
- Repeatedly solve transient randomly sampling all 17 distributions each time
- Produces a log-normal distribution

 linear normal probability plot of ln(*E*), R=0.9983
- 98.8% of the ln(E) distribution is less than ln(E_{AC})
- Very high confidence that *E_{AC}* will not be exceeded in the DBA with all parameters at their LCO limits or fixed values, including uncertainties and margins



Fixed Parameters

$$\frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \frac{\rho(t) - \beta_{U235}}{\Lambda} n(t) + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} C_{i}(t) \qquad P(t) = P_{A} n(t), \quad n(0) = 1$$

 $\rho(t) = \rho_{\text{IN}}(t) + \rho_{\text{OUT}}(t)$ $\rho_{\text{IN}}(t) = \beta_{U235} + 1 \text{ mk}, \text{ ramp } 0 \rightarrow 0.1 \text{ ms}$

$$\rho_{OUT}(t) = -LCR \frac{H_{ex}^3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(H_{ex} - \Delta h(t'))^2} - \frac{1}{H_{ex}^2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad H_{ex} = H_c + D_{ex}$$
$$t' = t - t_{DUMP}$$
$$E = \int P(t)dt \qquad E_{AC} = MF_{\Delta H}F_{f \, luxpeak} \Delta TC_P \qquad \Delta T = 100^{\circ}\text{C} - T_0$$

$$E < E_{AC}$$

UNRESTRICTED / ILLIMITÉ

A AECL EACL